Prospects for the Commercialization of Cellulosic Ethanol from Forest Biomass

Bill Schafer, Sr. Vice President – Business Development Range Fuels, Inc.





Forest Biomass Conference February 21, 2008 Fort Collins, Colorado

Range Fuels Overview

- Formed in July 2006 by Khosla Ventures to commercialize cellulosic ethanol
 - Multi-sourced technology
- Supported by substantive federal, state and local incentives
 - DOE: \$76MM in a competitive evaluation
 - Other state and local incentives
- Development Center and K2A Pilot Plant complete
- Broke ground in Soperton, GA, for first U.S. commercial-scale cellulosic ethanol plant utilizing woody biomass
- Additional sites and partnerships secured



Increasing Ethanol Demand and Support

- 60% growth in demand from 4 Bn GPY in 2005 to 6.6 Bn GPY in 2007
- Strong, bipartisan support for cellulosic biofuels
 - Recent passage of "Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007"
 - 36 Bn GPY by 2022 includes 21 Bn GPY of Advanced Biofuels
 - Increased cellulosic credits likely in new Farm Bill in 2008 (\$0.64/gal)



Corn-Based Advanced Biofuels - Non Corn-Based

Source: Renewable Fuels Association

- Higher demand for E85 fuel as FFVs are more widely adopted
 - By 2012 U.S. automakers have commited to% of production to FFVs

DOE Est. Corn Max. Volume



U.S. Cellulosic Ethanol Potential

140 Bn GPY – 2005 U.S. DOE/USDA Study

- Agricultural 100 Bn gpy
 - Crop residues, perennial crops, animal manure, process residues and grains used for biofuels
- Forestlands 40 Bn gpy
 - Wood and paper & pulp processing residues, logging and site clearing residues, fuel treatment thinnings
- Total U.S. Gasoline Consumption
 - 140 Bn gpy
 - President's goal 35 Bn gpy alternative fuels by 2017



Worldwide Cellulosic Ethanol Potential

Total Worldwide Gasoline Consumption
 — 300 Bn gpy vs. 140 Bn gpy from U.S.

Assessments Underway Globally



Range Fuels' Business

Focus

Green energy
Cellulosic ethanol

Business Model

- Design
- Build
- Own
- Operate
- Global Presence



Key Highlights

Thermo-chemical based technology with a developmental headstart — Economically competitive without subsidies from inception

- Low marginal cost of production
- Feedstock flexibility
 - Feedstock advantages of woody biomass
- Highly scalable business model; replicable plant modules
- Environmentally friendly production process
- Access to economic development funds and additional legislative measures that support development of cellulosic ethanol technologies
- Experienced management team and strategic investors and partners



Operational Facilities

4 generations of biomass conversion testing environments

- Catalyst testing facilities
 - CC10's
 - CC100

- Pilot-scale

K2A Optimization Plant

K2A Optimization Plant





Proven Leadership from High Tech, Renewable Energy Industries and Tech-Intensive Coal, Coal Gasification, and Gas-to-Liquids Industries

- Mitch Mandich, CEO Apple Computer
- Rick Winsor, President & COO Horizon Wind Energy
- Kevin Biehle, V.P. Production VeraSun; BASF
- Mike Cate, V.P. Procurement & Fabrication Washington Group
- Arie Geertsema, Sr. V.P. Technology CAER; Sasol
- Dan Hannon, CFO Reliant Energy, Exxon
- Bud Klepper, Chief Technical Specialist Inventor
- Larry Robinson, V.P. Projects Bechtel
- Bill Schafer, Sr. V.P. Business Development NexGen



Limitations of Current Technology

Current production technologies use corn or sugarcane

- Limited max. capacity (corn 15 BGY); high cost
- Import tax of \$0.54/gallon

Food versus fuel

- Low land efficiency for fuel production
- Sharp increase in feedstock prices
- Depleting water tables
- Wide price fluctuations due to weather
- Resistance from animal feed lobby

Low fossil energy ratios

- Corn at 1 to 1.4 input to output
- Sugarcane ethanol at 1 to 8
- Cellulosic ethanol at 1 to 10



Range Fuels' Technology

- Cheaper than gasoline, unsubsidized
- Cheaper, less volatile feedstock
- Flexible "high volume" feedstock supply

Corn stover

Olive pits

Coal

- <u>Wood chips</u>
 Switchgrass
- Municipal waste
- Industrial waste
- Manure
- Environmentally superior





Differentiated Technology

Proven two-step thermo-chemical process

Highest yield of ethanol per ton of feedstock

K2 System Configuration





Environmentally Friendly Production Process

Soperton: minor emissions source permit³⁰

- Only one waste stream: saleable char
- Lower water use
 - 25% of typical corn-ethanol plant
 - Reduces purification costs and impact

Material land use benefits

- Polyculture "compatible"
- Better yields, biodiversity, low inputs



World's First Commercial Cellulosic Plant



Soperton, GA: World's First Commercial Cellulosic Plant



Wetlands:

Will be protected and left undisturbed

Range Fuels Drive:

Specially created road that separates plant operations from the wetlands

Feedstock Receiving and Storage: Receipt and storage of wood chips

Conveyor System:

Moves feedstock from receiving and storage area to modular converters

Biomass Converters: Convert wood chips to syngas

Catalytic Converters:

Transform the syngas into alcohols, which are then separated and processed

7 Product Storage:

Collection and storage of liquids (ethanol and methanol)

Loading and Delivery:

Transportation by either truck or rail



Soperton Plant – Site Work





Soperton Plant – Artist's Rendering



Soperton Plant – Groundbreaking



Soperton Plant – Groundbreaking





Soperton Plant – Site Clearing





Soperton Plant – Woody Biomass Feedstock





Stable Pricing, Large Availability Using Woody Biomass

- Over 400 MM tons of "low cost" woody biomass available annually
- High land efficiency for cellulosic crops; low water and fertilizer inputs
- Cellulosic availability fits demand; fewer transportation issues
- Little competition for feedstock as paper mills decline

U.S. Ethanol Biorefinery Locations



Non-Federal Forest Land Density, 1997



- 25,000 acres of Forest Land per dot
- 95% or more Federal area
- Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture



Challenges for the Western United States

Why Georgia?

- "In the southeastern U.S., trees are agriculture. In the western U.S., they're parks"
- Sustainability is key!
 - Plants cannot be economically relocated
 - Woody biomass must be sustainably and economically available with a proven silviculture, harvesting and transportation infrastructure
 - Competing biomes
 - Growing season
 - Rainfall
 - Soils
 - Competing tree farmers or state and federal agencies?



Injury to Insult

H.R. 6: The Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007
 — Renewable Biomass is:

- Planted trees and tree residue from actively managed tree plantations on non-federal land cleared at any time prior to enactment of this sentence.
 - Does this include "commercial thinnings"?
 - How is "actively managed" defined?
 - If it has been cleared at any time in the past is it eligible? Is forestland cleared 100 years ago eligible, or is that not considered actively managed?
- Slash and pre-commercial thinnings that are from non-federal forestlands.
 - How are slash and pre-commercial thinnings defined?
 - Non-federal forestland exclusion will discourage any siting in regions dominated by federally managed forestlands, i.e. the western U.S.



How Can Range Fuels Produce Cellulosic Ethanol from Woody Biomass in Colorado?

We need a package that competes with other options

- Economically
 - Delivered cost of woody biomass
 - Cost of infrastructure and operations
 - Tax rates
 - Power and natgas rates
 - Labor rates
 - Rail and truck access for feedstock deliveries and product shipments
 - Value of cellulosic ethanol
- Environmentally
 - Impact of plant operations
 - Rural communities
 - Impact of feedstock growing, harvesting and transportation operations

