### Genetic Updates for *Mimulus gemmiparus, Penstemon acaulis,* and *P. yampaensis*

Mit McGlaughlin

University of Northern Colorado

Genetic analysis of the rare, Colorado endemic Mimulus gemmiparus (Rocky Mountain Monkey Flower) Branson Wetzstein

### Mimulus gemmiparus - Rocky Mountain Monkey Flower

- Formally treated as *Erythranthe gemmipara* (W.A. Weber) G.L. Nesom & N.S. Fraga (Phrymaceae)
- Colorado endemic plant which possesses a limited range, strict habitat requirement, and unique life history
- 11 known natural populations











### **Brood Bulbil**

- Asexually produced plantlet -> clonal spread
- Acts similarly to a seed can overwinter and grow into a new plant
- New plant will be genetically identical to the plant it formed on
- New sites could be founded with a single bulbil
- Concerns about genetic bottlenecks and lack of adaptation

![](_page_5_Picture_6.jpeg)

# **Study Aims**

- Q1. Does *M. gemmiparus* exhibit clonal spread?
- Q2. Is there evidence of inbreeding within populations and patches?
- Q3. What is the level of genetic diversity contained within patches and
- populations composed of multiple patches?
- Q4. How is genetic diversity partitioned across the range of *M*. *gemmiparus* and should different regions be treated as separate management units?

V	

Population	N <sup>1</sup>	# of Clones	Clone ID <sup>2</sup>	E <sub>genotypes</sub> <sup>3</sup>	H <sub>N</sub> <sup>4</sup>	H <sub>s</sub> <sup>5</sup>
North Inlet	51	2	1, 2	1.040	0.039	0.069
East Inlet	16	1	1	1	0	0
Saint Vrain	27	1	1	1	0	0
Pleasant Valley	19	1	1	1	0	0
Guanella Pass	13	1	3	1	0	0
Geneva Basin	22	1	3	1	0	0
Black Mountain	12	1	4	1	0	0
Elk Creek	5	1	4	1	0	0
Hankin's Gulch	12	1	28	1	0	0
Corral Dome	74	26	6 - 25, 28 - 33	9.219	0.904	1.327
Corral Creek	27	4	5, 26, 27, 28	1.602	0.390	0.380
Total <	278	33	Average	1.806	0.121	0.161

1 – N, total number of samples; 2 - Clone ID, specific clone found; 3 -  $E_{genotypes}$ , effective number of genotypes; 4 -  $H_N$ , Nei's corrected genetic diversity, and 5 -  $H_s$ , Shannon index corrected for sample size

![](_page_8_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_0.jpeg)

## Conclusions

Q1. Does *M. gemmiparus* exhibit clonal spread?

- Strict clonal spread was observed
- No evidence of sexual reproduction

Q2. Is there evidence of inbreeding within populations and patches?

No evidence of inbreeding because there is no sexual reproduction

## Conclusions

Q3. What is the level of genetic diversity contained within

patches and populations composed of multiple patches?

- Most populations are composed of a single clone (8) or each patch is a distinct clone (1)
- Only Corral Dome had multiple clones within patches (all)
- Genetic diversity is distributed very unevenly, with only the
- South having measurable amounts of genetic diversity

## Conclusions

Q4. How is genetic diversity partitioned across the range of *M*.

gemmiparus and should different regions be treated as separate

management units?

- Strong regional structure
  - North 4 populations, 2 observed clones, 1 clone rare
  - Central 4 populations, 2 observed clones in 2 regions
  - South 3 populations, 29 observed clones

## **Management Units**

Population	Region	Manager		
North Inlet	North	Dealey Mayntain National Dark		
East Inlet		ROCKY WOUNTAIN NATIONAL PARK		
Saint Vrain		Aranahaa Daacayalt National Faract		
<b>Pleasant Valley</b>		Arapanoe-Rooseveit National Forest		
Guanella Pass	Central <sup>1</sup>	Dika San Isahal National Forest		
Geneva Basin		PIKE-San ISabel National Forest		
Black Mountain	Central <sup>2</sup>	Stauptop State Dark		
Elk Creek		Staufiton State Park		
Hankin's Gulch	South			
Corral Dome		Pike-San Isabel National Forest		
Corral Creek				

### **Conservation** Recommendations

- Mimulus gemmiparus should be reconsidered for listing under the ESA –> previous determination relied heavily on number of stems
- The entire species is composed of ~33 genetic individuals
- Lack of diversity = lack of adaptability
- Substantial disturbance at a single site, Corral Dome, could lead to the loss of 79% of all known diversity
- Within regions, there is limited concern about moving plants from one site to another – e.g. all north is effectively identical
- All genetic diversity could be easily captured in cultivation

Understanding Species Boundaries Between Penstemon acaulis and Penstemon yampaensis: Implications for Conservation and Management Juliet Simpson

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Penstemon acaulis and P. yampaensis

- *P. acaulis* endemic to NE Utah and SW Wyoming
- *P. yampaensis* NW
  Colorado (Moffat County)
- Disagreements on which species to recognize and the specific distribution
- *P. acaulis* was a candidate for ESA listing (1990's)

![](_page_17_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### P. acaulis

### P. yampaensis

![](_page_18_Picture_3.jpeg)

# **Study Aims**

- Q1. What is the genetic taxonomic placement of *P. yampaensis*
- and should it be recognized at the level of species distinct from
- P. acaulis?
- Q2. Is there evidence of hybridization among *P. yampaensis* and *P. acaulis*?
- Q3. What is the genetic connectivity among populations within and among drainages?

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### 

### Comparisons of Leaf Length and Width

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

Note. 24.09% of genetic variation is

explained by axis 1 (X-axis) and 4.80% is

explained by axis 2 (Y-axis).

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

## Results

Q1. What is the genetic taxonomic placement of P. yampaensis and should it be

recognized at the level of species distinct from P. acaulis?

- Two evolutionary distinct species, species boundary does not follow

state line

Q2. Is there evidence of hybridization among P. yampaensis and P. acaulis?

- Yes. 1 hybrid found. Is of recent origin because it is a 50/50 split.

Q3. What is the genetic connectivity among populations within and among

drainages?

- Each geographic area/drainage is genetically distinct, indicating that among area gene flow is not common.

### **Conservation** Recommendations

- P. acaulis (PEAC) and P. yampaensis (PEYA) are separate species
  - PEAC UT/WY west of Flaming Gorge
  - PEYA UT/CO east of Flaming Gorge -> NE UT pops not previously treated as this species
- 4 total management units 1 PEAC, 3 PEYA
- Plants should not be moved among management units
- PEAC should be reconsidered for ESA listing -> new circumscription reduces range by ~55% (~900 sq/km total)
- Hybridization can occur so management should limit the potential to move seeds among species

### Funding and Collaborators

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Mimulus gemmiparus

![](_page_28_Picture_3.jpeg)

Penstemon acaulis and Penstemon yampaensis

![](_page_28_Picture_5.jpeg)

Sami Naibauer – 'doer of all things'